

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,023	01/19/2000	Parkash S. Gill	21327-701 CIP	2622
28120 ROPES & GRA	7590 09/07/2007 GRAY LLP		EXAMINER	
PATENT DOCKETING 39/41			MCGARRY, SEAN	
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1635	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/487,023	GILL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	/Sean R. McGarry/	1635 orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward.	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2.9-12.16.20.24 and 25 is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2.9-12.16.20.24. and 25 is/are rejected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according and according to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the control of the contr	wn from consideration. cted. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seetion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 09/487,023

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/10/07 has been entered.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 2,9-12, 16, 20, 24, and 25 remain rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-7, 9, and 10

Application/Control Number: 09/487,023

Art Unit: 1635

of U.S. Patent No. 6,291,667 in view of Uchida et al [US 6,150,092]. This rejection is maintained for the same reasons set forth in the official Action mailed 7/11/06.

In applicant response filed 8/10/03 it was requested to hold the rejection in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated. This rejection is maintained as applicant has provided no argument indicating that the rejection is improper.

Claims 2, 9-12, 16, 20, 24, and 25 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uchida et al [US 5,150,092], and Robinson et al [US 5,814,620; 5,710,136; 5,801,156]. This rejection is maintained for the same reasons set forth in the Official Action mailed 7/11/06.

Applicant has added the limitation "wherein said antisense oligonucleotide inhibits proliferation of Kaposi's Sarcoma cells". It is noted that this limitation adds no structural requirements to the claim but asserts the properties of the claimed oligonucleotides. Applicant argues that one must have a reasonable expectation of success, that the cited references do not inherently teach the claim limitation and the fact that a certain result or characteristic may occur or be present in the prior art is not sufficient to establish the inherency of that result or characteristic. It is noted that the previous Official Action and those before it clearly set forth the motivation and reasonable expectation of making the claimed antisense oligonucleotides. One in the art need not have know or appreciated that these oligonucleotides may inhibit Kaposi's sarcoma. In fact no knowledge of the property was relied on, nor was it needed to make

Art Unit: 1635

the prima facie case of obvious ness of record. Applicant's amendment to the claims does not limit the structures in the claims and therefore providing a subgenus of the previously claimed oligonucleotides. The limitation provides a description of a latent characteristic of the claimed genus of antisense oligonucleotides. Applicant is directed to the detailed arguments of the examiner set forth in the previous Official Action.

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS**MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Sean R. McGarry/ whose telephone number is (571) 272-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. Douglas Schultz can be reached on (571) 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sean R McGarry/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1635